

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

GREGORY D. BARREN, ) Case No.: 2:11-cv-00650-RLH-CWH  
Plaintiff, )  
vs. )  
DAVID ROGER, District Attorney; OFFICER )  
T. ROBINSON, P# 7466; OFFICER R. KENT, )  
P# 6179; OFFICER D. SHANE, P# 6727, )  
Defendants. )

**O R D E R**  
(Motion to Compel #25)

Before the Court is Plaintiff Gregory D. Barren's **Motion to Compel to Answer or In the Alternative, A Ruling on the Merits** (#25, filed Apr. 4, 2012).


This is a § 1983 claim filed against various law enforcement officers. The Court dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a claim on January 19, 2012. (#19). Plaintiff subsequently filed a Motion for Reconsideration (#21), to which the Officers responded (#22), and Plaintiff replied (#23). The Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. (#24). Plaintiff has now filed a Motion to Compel asking the Court to either (1) compel the officers to file a sur-reply to his Motion for Reconsideration or (2) issue an order on the merits of his Motion for Reconsideration without the requested sur-reply. Plaintiff raises no new evidence, facts, or

1 intervening change in the law in his Motion to Compel. Therefore, because the Court has already  
2 issued an Order (#24) on the merits of his Motion for Reconsideration, this Motion to Compel is  
3 moot.

4 Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (#25) is DENIED as  
6 MOOT.

7 Dated: April 11, 2012.

8   
9 ROGER L. HUNT  
10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26